

Standard Designs & Composite Crossarms

Mitch Graham

Topics

- Why did Unison go Composite?
- The Journey of Change
- Design Decisions for Standardisation
- Standard Arms & Components
- Experiences so far



Why did Unison go Composite? Wood Arms - Issues

Splits



Decay



Bowing and twisting









Why did Unison go Composite?

Steel Arms - Issues

Animal Flashover



Bird 33kV Flashover



Bird Flashover



Corrosion





A Journey of Change

- Market Research
- Material Comparison

	Galvanised Steel	Hardwood	Composite
Known strength	\checkmark	×	\checkmark
Resistant to Known Failure Modes			
Rust	×	\checkmark	\checkmark
Decay (rot)	\checkmark	×	\checkmark
Splitting	\checkmark	×	\checkmark
Burns readily	\checkmark	×	\checkmark
Easily modified	×	\checkmark	×
Cost	×	\checkmark	\checkmark
Weight	×	×	\checkmark
Insulation properties	×	✓ limited	\checkmark



Potential Issues – UV and Longevity

Australasian Users of Wagner's composite arms local UV levels. Crossarm numbers from 2017



Blooming issues in coastal areas with 1st version of coating, coating revised in 2010 and no subsequent issues



A Journey of Change

Industry Research and Interviews

			C	unison The Powerlines People
Please complete the following composite cross arm questionnaire:				
 How long have network? 	you been using	Wagner's compo	osite arms for or	iyour <u>VIS</u>
2. Are they approved or under a trial?			Approved/ under trial	
 Do you use any other make of composite arms on your network? Yes/No If 'Yes' what brand(s)? • 				
4. What pole materials are used on your network?				
		Yes	No	
Wood				
Steel				
Concr	ete			
Comp	osite			

- Product trial
 - High Corrosion Sulphur Environment
 - Terminating 2 circuits





Design Decisions - Scope

In Scope

- 11kV arms
- 33kV arms
- Arm Braces
- Attachments:
 - ABS
 - Drop out fuses

Out of Scope

- LV arms
- Mounting:
 - Recloser/RCS
 - Regulators



Design Decisions

How far out to put the centre phase strain?







Clevis







10

 \triangleleft

Standard Arms

Standard Lengths 2.2m, 2.6m, 3.2m, 4.1m

Double Delta Arm



Composite Standard Arms

Length (m)	Configuration	Dimensions (mm)
2.2	Both	100x100
2.4	Offset & ABS takeoff	100x100
2.6	Both	100x100
3.2	Both	100x100
3.6	Dual Circuit	100x100
4.1	Both	100x100

Offset Arm





Components & Handling



SOP-84 Crossarr	Handling Composite
Preventing damage to composite crossarms	The table below summarises what to do to prevent damage to composite crossarms.
	What to do
Transporting	 When transporting crossarms: do not store crossarms on racks unless the racks have been covered with some form of non-scratch material such as cloth, rubber mats or cardboard, and tie down to prevent movement.







Standard Drawings

Identify standard components Develop standard arm assemblies







Industry Standardisation

- The Lines Company are using our designs. Currently working with PowerCo and Vector to standardise our arms
- Full suite of Busck pole drawing and happy to share





Failure Hierarchy





Experiences

- Less build variability with standard drawings and no drilling
- More care required when lining up H Structures
- "Lighter much nicer on my back"
- Some minor damage from handling
- Small number of 125x125mm arms needed for strength





Stakeholder Feedback

- Designers Designing with a known strength
- Control Room Improving reliability from reduced animal trippings
- Field Crews Lighter and simple to use
- Stores 33% fewer arm types = less stock
- Asset Management consistent quality and long life expected





Any Questions?

Mitch Graham mitch.graham@unison.co.nz

Industry Standardisation – Get in touch if you are interested, full suite of Busck pole drawing available



18