KensingtonSwan⊗

Health and Safety Legal Update

Electricity Engineers' Association – Health and Safety Workshop 2019

Sarah-Lee Stead Special Counsel, Kensington Swan

October 2019



What we will cover today?

- Recent caselaw
- · Enforcement options and trends
- · WorkSafe's changing focus

Recent caselaw: Overlapping Duties



KensingtonSwan*

- Where have we got to?
 - > Athenbury Holdings Ltd & Ors
 - ➤ Linfox Logistics (N.Z.) Ltd
 - Phil Stirling Building Ltd / Duncan Engineering Ltd
- Need to be on top of this
 - ➤ Does your Board or Exec understand the scope of the 3Cs and what it means for your risk profile?
 - Who do you influence or have control over? How is that demonstrated or established?
 - Do you have processes in place to ensure that shared risks are being managed as agreed/appropriately?
 - > Are you doing too much?

Recent caselaw: Duty to assist (1)



KensingtonSwan*

- Section 176 PCBU must 'give all reasonable assistance to enable an inspector to enter, inspect, examine, enquire or exercise any other power.'
- · WorkSafe enforcing this section

D Heaps

- Company director misled WorkSafe investigator
- Gave false information
- ➤ Instructed victim (an employee) to give false information about:
 - Role in business
 - Why on site
 - Machine involved
- > Charged with perverting the course of justice
- > Starting point is imprisonment
- > Four months community detention with curfew
- ➤ Imposed fine of \$14,000 (\$2,000 for obstruction charge)

Recent caselaw: Duty to assist (2)



KensingtonSwan*

Joshua Junior Nanai

- ➤ Company director obstructed WorkSafe investigation
 - Aggressive
 - Didn't comply with document request
- > Failed to attend interview under caution
- > Charged with obstruction and breach of duty
- ➤ Imposed fine of \$14,000 (\$2,000 for obstruction charge)

Recent caselaw: Supply Chain Duties



- First prosecution for a failure to meet supply chain duties
 Davies Tree Service Ltd and MMD Engineering Ltd
 - Worker placed hand through an unguarded opening in a wood cutting machine, severed four fingers
 - Davies (employer of injured worker) and MMD (machine manufacturer) both prosecuted
 - MMD delivered and installed the machine without an instruction manual or appropriate interlock guarding in place (despite knowing this)
 - Davies removed the existing guarding
 - ➤ Davies fined \$75,000 and ordered to pay reparation of \$35,000
 - > MMD fined \$60,000
 - Both fines were reduced to take account of the companies' financial circumstances

Recent caselaw: Electricity Act



KensingtonSwan*

WorkSafe are continuing to consider Electricity Act Bradford John Dribble

- Casual worker was injured when trimming branches of a tree. A branch fell onto a powerline and the worker sustained an electric shock, that caused him to fall from the metal ladder he was standing on.
- Dribble was prosecuted for: breaches of HSWA and Electricity Act
 - s36 HSWA for failing to ensure health and safety of a worker
 - S163c Electricity Act for taking an action know the action is reasonably likely to cause serious harm and failing to prevent it
- Dribble was fined \$65,000 and ordered to pay reparation of \$20,000
- Also sentenced to 60 hours community work under Electricity Act (maximum penalty was 2 years imprisonment, \$100,000 fine or both)

What are the options?



- · Pre-prosecution options
 - > Directions (verbal and written)
 - > Infringement notices
 - > Improvement notices
 - Prohibition notices
- · Prosecution
 - Financial penalties (reparations and fines)
 - > Court ordered enforceable undertakings
 - Adverse publicity orders
 - > Project order
 - > Training orders
- Enforceable undertakings

Pre-prosecution options



KensingtonSwan*

- Directive letters/verbal directions
 - A way of dealing with common breaches that don't require a formal statutory notice
- · Infringement notices
 - A way of dealing with common breaches that wouldn't fall within the prosecution guidelines
 - > Lead to an infringement fee
- · Improvement notices
 - ➤ Intended to focus a PCBU on the tasks necessary to fix the breach
- · Prohibition notices
 - > Intended to stop risks that are imminent
 - > Are not intended to dictate the measures to control the risk

Prosecutions and sentencing under the Health and Safety at Work Act



- Decision to prosecute based on WorkSafe's Enforcement Decision-making model
- Upon conviction, the sentencing process involves:
 - Assessing the reparation to be paid to the victim(s)
 - > Fixing the fine
 - Considering whether other orders are appropriate
 - Costs awards
 - Court ordered enforceable undertakings
 - Adverse publicity orders
 - Project order
 - Training orders
 - Making an overall assessment of the proportionality and appropriateness of the total penalty on the defendant

Enforcement Trends: Reparations



KensingtonSwan*

- Increasing awards
- Victim only if exposed to the risk of harm that amounts to an offence (Pegasus Engineering Ltd)
- Consequential financial loss
 - Lost income is limited to the statutory shortfall between ACC compensation and financial benefit would otherwise have received (Oceania Gold (New Zealand) Ltd and Croop Loggins Ltd)
 - Property losses are reduced to like for like (Agricentre South Ltd)

Enforcement Trends: Fines



- · Indications are that fines will increase
- · Increasing claims of financial incapacity
 - Need evidence that can't afford to pay
 - Less likely that will force a business to close only in egregious circumstances
 - > Will be fined, but low level fine

Enforcement Trends: Other orders (1)



KensingtonSwan*

Enforcement Trends: Other orders (2)



KensingtonSwan*

WorkSafe costs

- · Routinely being sought by WorkSafe
- Awards of \$5,000 \$10,000
 - > contribution of costs, not total cost

Work health and safety project orders

 Being utilised by courts to require engagement with staff and industry

Training orders

 Order for employees to complete specified scaffolding training (Dong Xing Group Ltd)

Adverse publicity orders

- Ordered to publish details of offence, the consequences and penalty imposed
- 4 Hippos Ltd in newspaper
- Quick Earth Moving on website

Court Ordered Enforceable Undertaking

 Only available where low culpability and no prior health and safety convictions (*Niagara Sawmilling Ltd*)

Enforcement Trends: Enforceable Undertakings



KensingtonSwan*

- · Haven't been as many this year
 - ➤ Last EU was in February
- · Focus on:
 - > Innovative change
 - > Can't simply be 'BAU'
- Low hanging fruit is gone so the process is getting tougher and more costly

Worksafe's changing focus (1)



- · What is WorkSafe's focus?
- · Moving away from traditional focus of:
 - Working at heights
 - Moving materials
 - Vehicles and Machinery
 - > Hazardous materials
- Focusing on those areas that are causing most harm
 - Mental health
 - > Fatigue
 - > Silicosis, asbestos exposure

Worksafe's changing focus (2)



- · Investigation focus moving too
 - > Asking questions about focus areas
 - Includes 3Cs
 - > Asking for information about near misses/similar incidents
 - > Seeking documents to demonstrate compliance
- · Also looking at activities of directors

